

Dear Sir/Madam

I was shocked and dismayed when I saw the extent of the reduction of National Park zones in the proposed management plans.

The original plans and the independent review were based on more than 15 years of scientific work to determine the minimum area of National Parks zones required to ensure sustainable fisheries and long term species conservation. What new scientific evidence was found that showed that the previous 15 years' work was in error to the tune of 50%?

It is no surprise that Allan Hansard (Managing Director of ARFF) gloated 'Now we have access to 97 per cent of waters within 100km of the coast, and 80 per cent of the whole network.' (<http://www.fishingworld.com.au/news/better-outcome-for-rec-fishers-in-commonwealth-marine-reserve-plans>).

I enjoy fishing, and I want my grandchildren to have the same opportunities I have had, secured by long term species conservation.

Thank you for considering my opinion.

Yours sincerely

Jim Camm

51 Millewa Avenue

Malvern East VIC 3145