

Please type your comments below.

The proposed changes to the % of the marine park zoned under general use vs full protection (green) is utterly shocking. How is the new 'opening up' of green zones to commercial fishing, including long-lining, in any way achieving an ecosystem resilience outcomes for those systems, especially where data on condition and ecosystem function and services is lacking/non-existent? When climate change is then considered as an overarching driver why is building resilience to these systems not the number 1 objective of the marine park management plan? Commercial fishing is not consistent with conservation outcomes. I do not understand the rationale behind opening these areas up to commercial activities (and this is very poorly communicated in the management plan). If the driver is economic, the flow of revenue from non-extractive industries in the neighbouring GBRMP far outweighs extractive ones. It is also not abiding by the precautionary principle - as I do not believe there could possibly be enough data to say with any certainty that these new management arrangements will not impact on ecosystems health, productivity, services or structure. These marine parks are supposed to be managed in the best interests of the Country. If asked, do you think the public would sacrifice the long term sustainability of these ecosystems vs a short term gain for commercial fishers?